

Guidelines for applicants

Section 1

Partnership for Research and Innovation in the Mediterranean Area

PRIMA

Version 0.0 6 February 2018

The PRIMA programme is an Art. 185 initiative supported and funded under Horizon 2020, the European Union's Framework Programme for Research and Innovation'



DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared by PRIMA-IS to provide guidance to applicants, the European Commission is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains. In case of discrepancies between this document and the AWP 2018 the latter legally prevails.

Glossary

AC: Associated Countries AWP: Annual Work Plan CA : Consortium Agreement **CV: Curriculum Vitae** DMP: Data Management Plan ESR: Evaluation Summary Report ESS: Electronic Submission System EU: European Union **FPs: Full Proposals** GA : Grant Agreement **IPR: Intellectual Property Right KPI: Key Performance Indicators** MPC: Mediterranean Partner Countries NCP: National Contact Point **OCT: Overseas Countries and Territories PI:** Principal Investigator PRIMA-IS: PRIMA – Implementation Structure **PS:** Participating State **RIA: Research and Innovation Action RfP: Rules for Participation** SDG: Sustainable Development Goals SMEs: Small and Medium-sized Enterprises SRIA: Scientific Research and Innovation Agenda tbPS: to be Participating States **TC: Third Countries** TRL: Technology Readiness Level UfMS: Union for Mediterranean Secretariat

Stage 1 Pre-proposals Deadline for Submission 17th April, 2018 17:00h CET

Documents for stage 1:

 ✓ Administrative data (to fill in directly on the ESS)
 ✓ Scientific document (template to be uploaded as pdf on the ESS)
 ✓ Global budget (total cost and required amount to PRIMA for each partner to fill in directly on the ESS)

Stage 2 Full proposals Deadline for Submission 15th September, 2018 17:00h CET

Documents for stage 2:

✓ Administrative data (to fill in directly on the ESS)
 ✓ Detailed Scientific document (template to be uploaded as pdf on the ESS)
 ✓ Detailed budget (template to be uploaded as an Excel file in the ESS)
 ✓ CVs (template to be uploaded as pdf on the ESS)

One month before the deadline for submission, the link to the Electronic Submission System will be announced on the PRIMA web page in the section corresponding to the call.

Download the template for the Scientific document from <u>www.prima-</u> <u>med.org</u>

Contents

Glos	sary	2
I.	Actions funded by PRIMA	5
II.	Before the submission of Proposal	6
III.	Preparing a Proposal	6
IV.	List of countries eligible for funding	8
V.	Standard of admissibility and related requirements	9
VI.	Standard eligibility conditions1	0
VII.	Evaluation rules1	.1
VIII.	Communication of evaluation results1	.5
IX.	Call Secretariat – PRIMA-IS1	.5
Х.	National Contact Points1	.6
XI.	Use of the Electronic Submission System1	.6

Annexes

A1.	List of NCP	18
A2.	Common timeline for all calls in Section 1	22
A3.	List of KPIs	23

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

The calls will follow a two-stage evaluation procedure.

For the first stage, a short Scientific pre-proposal (10 pages) must be submitted to the Electronic Submission System by the first deadline (April 17, 2018; 17:00h CET).

Guidance about the Electronic Submission System is provided in the document entitled "Electronic Submission System handbook". The link to the electronic submission system will be announced ONE MONTH before the submission deadline on the PRIMA web page, in the section corresponding to the call.

Please note that when these guidelines refer to "proposal", this means that the conditions apply to both pre-proposal (stage 1) and full proposal (stage2).

The Annual Work Plan 2018 (AWP2018) contains the full description of the actions, please refer to this document to have more detailed information on participation.

I. Actions funded by PRIMA

- Thematic Area 1-Water management: Topic 1 "Water reuse and water desalination for agricultural and food production". **RIA.**
- Thematic Area 2-Farming systems: Topic 2 "Improving the sustainability of Mediterranean agro-ecosystems". **RIA.**
- Thematic Area 3-Agrofood chain: Topic 3 "Implementing innovation in Mediterranean Agrofood chain by smallholders and SMEs". **IA.**

Research and innovation actions (RIA)

Description: Action primarily consisting of activities aiming to establish new knowledge and/or to explore the feasibility of a new or improved technology, product, process, service or solution. For this purpose, they may include basic and applied research, technology development and integration, testing and validation on a small-scale prototype in a laboratory or simulated environment.

Projects may contain closely connected but limited demonstration or pilot activities aiming to show technical feasibility in a near to operational environment. *Funding rate:* **100%***

Innovation actions (IA)

Description: Action primarily consisting of activities directly aiming at producing plans and arrangements or designs for new, altered or improved products, processes or services. For this purpose they may include prototyping, testing, demonstrating, piloting, large-scale product validation and market replication.

A 'demonstration or pilot' aims to validate the technical and economic viability of a new or improved technology, product, process, service or solution in an operational (or near to operational)

environment, whether industrial or otherwise, involving where appropriate a larger scale prototype or demonstrator.

A 'market replication' aims to support the first application/deployment in the market of an innovation that has already been demonstrated but not yet applied/deployed in the market due to market failures/barriers to uptake. 'Market replication' does not cover multiple applications in the market of an innovation¹ that has already been applied successfully once in the market. 'First' means new at least to PRIMA countries or new at least to the application sector in question. Often such projects involve a validation of technical and economic performance at system level in real life operating conditions provided by the market.

Projects may include limited research and development activities. *Funding rate:* **70%. Except for non-profit legal entities, where a rate of 100% applies***

*Please refer to the PRIMA Model Grant Agreement

II. Before the submission of Proposal

There are important steps to get prepared before starting the preparation of the proposal. They are as follows:

- Carefully read the text of the call and check the eligibility conditions (e.g. minimum number of required partners or their place of establishment).
- Prospective applicants are encouraged to contact PRIMA National Contact Points (NCPs) listed in Annex 1, to receive more information and guidance on PRIMA calls.
- Get familiar with the standard administrative and technical documentation to fill in. These templates are available on the PRIMA website (Reference Documents) to allow an early preparation of the proposal. Please note that a different template is used for the pre-proposal and full proposal stage with different information.

THE PRIMA WEBSITE (<u>www.prima-med.org</u>) FEATURES A "FIND PARTNERS TOOL" WICH ALLOWS APPLICANTS TO SEARCH FOR POTENTIAL PARTNERS.

Each consortium is formed by several teams, each one with a Principal Investigator (PI), who is the leader of the team, lab or institution. One of these PIs shall be nominated as **coordinator** of the project, whose responsibilities during the submission and the evaluation phase are to:

- Ensure that all the members of the consortium are eligible;
- Submit the proposal on behalf of the consortium;
- Be the single point of contact between the PRIMA-IS and the consortium partners.

III. Preparing a Proposal

The proposal must be created by the project coordinator and consists of 2 main parts: the **administrative & financial data** and the **Scientific document** which is the description of the planned project. Proposal templates are available on <u>www.prima-med.org</u>. The limit that applies for the scientific document are:

¹ A new or improved technology, product, design, process, service or solution.

- Stage 1: 10 pages (Pre-proposal)
- Stage 2: 70 pages (Full proposal)

Proposals and all the annexes or additional documents **must be written in English**, in a legible font. Further guidance on the use of fonts, margins and other page formatting will be included in the proposal templates available on the PRIMA website.

The work described in the proposal must correspond to the PRIMA Call Section 1. The proposal has to meet all eligibility and admissibility conditions described in these guidelines. Proposals that do not comply with such requirements will be considered ineligible.

NO MODIFICATIONS are allowed in the consortium composition (Coordinators and PI), budget and scientific objectives between stage 1 and stage 2.

All pre-proposals must include a global budget (please ensure that the total value of your requested contribution to PRIMA does not exceed the maximum per grant as stated in the call text). For each partner you should indicate the requested amount to PRIMA and the total cost of the project (please refer to the Electronic Submission System Handbook). Not all costs are eligible. A detailed explanation of which costs are eligible and which are not eligible can be found in the **Art. 6 of the PRIMA Model Grant Agreement** (available on <u>www.prima-med.org</u>). Please read this document carefully. A detailed budget will be required for the full proposal stage.

Applications, including all required forms and annexes, must be received by the PRIMA-IS **by the deadlines** as stated in this document. Therefore, it is strongly recommended to not wait until the last moment to submit your proposal. **Proposals submitted after the deadline are not eligible** for evaluation and will be automatically rejected. No extenuating circumstances will be taken into consideration.

It is possible for the consortium to withdraw an already submitted proposal at any time before the deadline, or even during the review process. Correcting or revising of proposals is only possible before the deadline. PRIMA will use the latest version of the proposal submitted by the deadline for review.

ONLY consortia whose pre-proposals are selected by PRIMA-IS (based on the evaluation panel's ranking list, please refer to Section VII of these guidelines for more information on the evaluation process) will be invited to submit a full proposal. The submission of a pre-proposal is mandatory.

	Main steps for the preparation and submission of a proposal						
1	Consortium composition and appointment of the coordinator						
2	Verification of the eligibility conditions for the partners						
3	Pre-proposal writing (check the evaluation criteria, fill in the appropriate forms)						
4	Check your Financial capacity: In line with the Financial Regulation No 966/2012 and						
	the Horizon 2020 Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013 by following this						
	link:						
	https://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/portal/desktop/en/organisations/lfv.html						

Table 1. Main steps for the preparation and submission of a proposal

5	Pre-proposal submission by the coordinator with the following information:				
	\checkmark Administrative data (names of the partners, contact details, institutions)				
	Information to fill in online. See ESS Handbook for details				
 Budget: Total cost per partner and Required amount per partner Information to fill in online 					
	✓ Scientific document (template available on <u>www.prima-med.org</u>). Pdf to be uploaded on the ESS				
	Note that for full proposal (stage 2) you will have to provide :				
	✓ A detailed budget table (template available on <u>www.prima-med.org</u>). Exce file to be uploaded on the ESS				
	✓ A Detailed Scientific Document (full proposal template available on www.prima-med.org) Pdf to be uploaded on the ESS				
	 CVs of the PIs including all the information listed in Section V of these guidelines (point3) in one single document: Pdf to be uploaded on the ESS 				
	The PI is the team leader=1 person per team, lab or institution				

IV. List of countries eligible for funding

Legal entities established in the following countries and territories will be eligible to receive funding through PRIMA grants:

a) The PRIMA Participating States (PS)²:

- The following Member States (MS) of the European Union (EU): Croatia, Cyprus, France, Germany, Greece, Italy, Luxembourg, Malta, Portugal, Spain, Slovenia. It includes the Overseas Countries and Territories (OCT) linked to these Member States³.
- The following Third Countries associated to Horizon 2020 (AC): Israel, Tunisia, and Turkey.
- The following Third Countries not associated to Horizon 2020 (TC), upon condition that they
 have concluded international agreements for scientific and technological cooperation setting
 out the terms and conditions of their participation in PRIMA: Algeria, Egypt, Jordan, Lebanon
 and Morocco.*

* The eligibility to receive PRIMA funding for legal entities established in the 'to be Participating States', i.e. Egypt, Lebanon and Morocco, is conditional upon the entry into force or start of provisional application of international agreements with the Union setting out the terms and conditions of their participation in PRIMA. Legal entities established in these countries, which apply for funding, will be eligible for funding if the required international agreements start producing legal effects by the time the relevant Grant Agreement is signed.

These Associated (AC) and Third Countries (TC) mentioned above are the Mediterranean Partner Countries (MPC).

² New PS may join PRIMA and the regularly updated list of PS will be provided by PRIMA-IS (<u>www.prima-med.org</u>).

³ Entities from Overseas Countries and Territories (OCT) are eligible for funding under the same conditions as entities from the Member States to which the OCT in question is linked.

The latest information on the PRIMA PS can be found on PRIMA webpage (in the "about us" section): <u>www.prima-med.org</u>

In addition, the following entities are eligible to receive funding through PRIMA grants:

b) Any legal entity created under Union law.

c) Any international European interest organization, as defined in point (12) of Article 2(1) of Regulation (EU) No $1290/2013^4$.

d) Any international organization or any legal entity established in countries not listed above as PS will be eligible for funding provided that at least one of the following conditions is fulfilled:

- participation is deemed to be essential for carrying out the action;
- such funding is provided under a bilateral scientific and technological agreement or any other arrangement between the Union and the international organization or, for entities established in a country which is not a Participating State, the country in which the legal entity is established.

Participants from countries not listed in point a), and not falling under b), c) or d) above, can be part of a consortium but they have to fund their own participation. They cannot coordinate the project and they are not taken into account in the minimum number of participants required to have an eligible consortium. They also have to attach to their proposal a letter from their organization (signed by a legal representative of the structure) attesting that the funds to cover their participation to the projects (task(s), participation to meetings, etc.) will be available.

V. Standard of admissibility and related requirements

After the proposal submission, PRIMA-IS will check if it is **admissible** (complete and properly put together) and **eligible**.

Eligibility checks include basic checking: if the contents of proposals are in line with the topic description, if they meet standard and any other eligibility conditions listed in these guidelines. Only proposals which are **admissible and eligible** will be evaluated.

1. To be considered **admissible**, a proposal must be:

- (a) Submitted in the Electronic Submission System before the deadline given in the call conditions;
- (b) Readable, accessible and printable;
- (c) **Complete** and inclusive of the requested administrative data, the proposal description, and any other obligatory supporting documents specified in the call conditions;
- (d) Include a draft plan for the exploitation and dissemination of the results. The draft plan is not required for pre- proposals.

2. In addition to the above admissibility requirements, page limits will apply to parts of proposals. The limit for a **first-stage pre-proposal is 10 pages (THIS APPLIES ONLY TO THE SCIENTIFIC PART)**, while the limit for a **full proposal is 70 pages. We strongly encourage you to write your proposal in a concise**

⁴ These are international organizations, the majority of whose members are Member States or associated countries, and whose principal objective is to promote scientific and technological cooperation in Europe

and precise way (please bear in mind that the length of the proposal is not considered as a guarantee of quality and evaluators appreciate to receive precise and clear documentation).

Excess pages (in over-long proposals/applications) will not be taken into consideration by evaluators. Further guidance on acceptable fonts, margins and other page formatting will be included in the proposal templates. The structure of proposals must correspond to the requirements specified under each section of the proposal template.

3. The following **supporting documents** will be required to determine the operational capacity for grant proposals (FOR FULL PROPOSAL ONLY):

- A curriculum vitae or description of the profile of the persons who will be primarily responsible for carrying out the proposed research and/or innovation activities;
- A list of up to five relevant publications, and/or products, services (including widely-used datasets or software), or other achievements relevant to the call content;
- A list of up to five relevant previous projects or activities, connected to the subject of this proposal;
- A description of any significant infrastructure and/or any major items of technical equipment, relevant to the proposed work;
- A description of any third parties that are not represented as project partners, but who will nonetheless be contributing towards the work (e.g. providing facilities, computing resources).

All the points listed above must be compiled in a unique document to be uploaded during the submission phase.

VI. Standard eligibility conditions

All proposals must comply with the eligibility conditions set out in Rules for Participation of Horizon 2020 Regulation No.1290/2013 and any derogations to these as specified in the Decision (EU) 2017/1324.

Furthermore, proposals must comply with the eligibility conditions set out in these guidelines.

A proposal will only be considered eligible if:

- (a) its content corresponds, wholly or in part, to the topic for which it is submitted;
- (b) it complies with the eligibility conditions for participation set out below:

MINIMUM REQUIREMENT TO HAVE AN ELIGIBLE CONSORTIUM:

1 ENTITY FROM: Croatia, Cyprus, France, Germany, Greece, Italy, Luxembourg, Malta, Portugal, Slovenia, Spain AND 1 ENTITY FROM Algeria, Israel, Jordan, Tunisia, Turkey, Morocco*, Egypt*, Lebanon* AND 1 ENTITY FROM one of the above mentioned countries * **Consequences for eligibility for participation**: Legal entities established in "to be Participating States: Morocco, Egypt and Lebanon" **WILL NOT COUNT towards the minimum number of participants** in the consortium if the international agreement with the country where they are established does not produce legal effects **by the day of the submission deadline.** Please consult the updated list of PS in the "about us" section of the PRIMA website (www.prima-med.org).

VII. Evaluation rules

-Selection Criteria

1. *Financial capacity:* In line with the Financial Regulation No 966/2012 and the Horizon 2020 Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013. For grants, coordinators will be invited – at the pre-proposal stage – to complete a self-assessment using an on-line tool: https://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/portal/desktop/en/organisations/lfv.html .

In cases where the requested funding for the action is equal to or higher than EUR 500,000 and whenever there are grounds to doubt the financial and operational capacity of the coordinator or other participants on the basis of available information, the PRIMA-IS shall verify their financial and operational capacity as reported in paragraphs 10 and 11 of Article 15 RfP H2020. Financial capacity checks will be performed by PRIMA during the evaluation phase of full proposals.

2. Operational capacity: As a distinct operation, carried out during the evaluation of the award criterion 'Quality and efficiency of the implementation', experts will indicate whether the participants have sufficient operational capacity to carry out the proposed work, based on the competence and experience of the individual participant(s). This assessment will be based on the competence and experience of the applicant, including its operational resources (human, technical and other) and, if applicable, exceptionally the concrete measures proposed to obtain it by the time of the implementation of the tasks. (Only for stage 2).

-Award criteria, scores and weighting

1. Grant proposals will be evaluated by experts, on the basis of the **award criteria** 'excellence', 'impact' and 'quality and efficiency of the implementation' (see Article 15 of the Horizon 2020 Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013).

The aspects to be considered in each case depend on the types of action as set out in the table below:

Table 2. Aspects to be considered in the evaluation.

Type of Action	Excellence The following aspects will be taken into account, to the extent that the proposed work corresponds to the topic description in the work plan.	Impact The following aspects will be taken into account:	Quality and efficiency of the implementation The following aspects will be taken into account:
All Types of Actions	Clarity and pertinence of the objectives; Soundness of the concept, and credibility of the proposed methodology; Fit with the scope and objectives of the PRIMA programme and the call topic description;	The extent to which the outputs of the project would contribute to one or several of the expected impacts mentioned in this document under the relevant topic. Scores of the proposals will not depend on the number of expected impacts covered.	Quality and effectiveness of the work plan, including extent to which the resources assigned to work packages are in line with their objectives and deliverables; Appropriateness of the management structures and procedures, including risk and innovation management; Complementarity of the participants and extent to which the consortium as whole brings together the necessary expertise; Appropriateness of the allocation of tasks, ensuring that all participants have a valid role and adequate resources in the project to fulfil that role.

-Scoring and weighting:

- Each evaluation criterion will be scored out of 5
- Evaluation scores will be awarded for the criteria, and not for the different aspects listed in the above table.
- For the evaluation of <u>first stage proposals</u> under a two-stage submission procedure, only the criteria **'excellence'** and **'impact'** will be evaluated. Within these criteria, only the aspects in bold will be considered. The threshold for both individual criteria will be 4. For each indicative budget-split in the call conditions, the overall threshold, applying to the sum of the two individual scores, will be set at the level such that the total requested budget of proposals admitted to stage 2 is as close as possible to three times the available budget, and in any case, not less than two and a half times the available budget.
- The actual level will therefore depend on the volume of pre-proposals received. The threshold is expected to normally be set at least at 8 or 8. 5 (stage 1).
- <u>For the second stage</u>: The threshold for individual criteria will be 3. The overall threshold, applying to the sum of the three individual scores, will be 10.

Table 3. Score description for the evaluation criteria.

	Score description for the evaluation criteria (half point scores may be given)					
0	weak	weakThe proposal fails to address the criterion under examination or cannot be judged due to missing or incomplete information.				
1	poor	The criterion is addressed in an inadequate manner, or there are serious inherent weaknesses.				
2	fair	While the proposal broadly addresses the criterion, there are significant weaknesses.				
3	good	The proposal addresses the criterion well, although improvements would be necessary.				
4	very good	The proposal addresses the criterion very well, although certain improvements are still possible.				
5	excellent	The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion in question. Any shortcomings are minor.				

Evaluation procedure

- <u>Individual Evaluation</u>: Each eligible proposal is evaluated remotely by at least three expert evaluators (see Article 15 of the Horizon 2020 Rules for Participation Regulation no. 1290/2013), who carry out a technical evaluation on the basis of the criteria mentioned above. An Individual Evaluation Report, which includes comments and scores for all evaluation criteria, is prepared by each evaluator.
- <u>Panel review</u>: after the remote individual evaluation, evaluators meet in a panel to discuss and find agreement on the initial comments and scores attributed to each proposal. They will prepare an Evaluation Summary Report (ESR) for each proposal, which shows the outcome of the evaluation of the project proposal.

The panel is also responsible for the preparation of a ranking list of the proposals by consensus. Project funding decisions will be taken based on this ranking list. The panel configuration allows evaluators to have an overview of all eligible proposals, not just the one they have evaluated, which enables them to decide fairly when ranking the proposals.

In case of disagreement or in case of project proposals with the same score (only for two stage proposals), the panel shall determine the priority order for proposals described in the section below.

-Priority order for proposals with the same score:

The following method will be applied (except for the pre-proposal stage, where proposals having the same score are kept together and no prioritization is made).

If necessary, the panel will determine a priority order for proposals, which have been awarded the *same score* within a ranked list. Whether or not such a prioritization is carried out will depend on the available budget or other conditions set out in the call text. The following approach will be applied

successively for every group of *ex aequo* proposals requiring prioritization, starting with the highest scored group, and continuing in descending order:

- a) Proposals that address topics, or sub-topics, not otherwise covered by more highly-ranked proposals, will be considered to have the highest priority.
- b) The proposals identified under (a), if any, will themselves be prioritized according to the scores they have been awarded for the criterion excellence. When these scores are equal, priority will be based on scores for the criterion impact.
- c) If necessary, any further prioritization will be based on the following factor; presence of SMEs, gender balance among the personnel named in the proposal who will be primarily responsible for carrying out the research and/or innovation activities.
- d) The panel may decide to further prioritize by considering how to enhance the quality of the project portfolio through synergies between projects, or other factors related to the objectives of the call or to PRIMA in general. These factors will be documented in the report of the Panel.
- e) The method described in (a), (b), (c) and (d) will then be applied to the remaining *ex aequos* in the group.

At the end of the panel meeting, the panel will recommend one ranking list for the proposals under evaluation, following the scoring systems indicated above.

VIII. Communication of evaluation results

Coordinators whose pre-proposal has been rejected will receive an Evaluation Summary Report (ESR) at the end of the first stage, showing the results of the evaluation.

The PRIMA-IS will notify applicants if their proposal has been rejected because:

- it is found to be ineligible;
- it falls short of the relevant thresholds;
- it is too far down the ranking list to qualify for the limited amount of funding available;
- it does not fulfil the ethical requirements, following an ethics review (see Article 13.3, of Horizon 2020 Rules for participation).

Coordinators whose pre-proposal has been successful will be invited to submit a full-proposal for stage 2 and will receive an ESR at the end of the second stage evaluation. A positive result **does not constitute a confirmed offer of a grant**.

The final decision of funding will be taken according to the ranking list produced by the evaluation panel after the evaluation of full proposals, starting by the project ranked number 1 in the list in a descending order, until funds are available.

IX. Call Secretariat – PRIMA-IS

The Call Secretariat of the **PRIMA-IS** will provide administrative support to applicants regarding the calls, call documents and procedures.

Project Officers :

For Management of Water: Marco Orlando Phone: +34 93 401 08 40 e-mail: <u>marco.orlando@prima-med.org</u>

For Farming Systems: Fabrice Dentressangle Phone: +34 93 401 18 41 e-mail: fabrice.dentressangle@prima-med.org

For Agro-food Value Chain: Anabel de la Peña Phone: +34 93 401 08 81 e-mail: <u>anabel.delapena@prima-med.org</u>

X. National Contact Points

Besides the Call Secretariat, each country has nominated representatives at national level as National Contact Point (NCP). These representatives give support to the applicants and are the primary points of contact for all general matters related to the calls, and can act as a link between PRIMA and the coordinator of the project.

A list of the National Contact Points is provided in Annex 1.

XI. Use of the Electronic Submission System

The proposal submission occurs online via Electronic Submission System. It is the duty of the coordinator to enter the data online. Please READ CAREFULLY THE ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION SYSTEM HANDBOOK FOR MORE INFORMATION.

Information about the coordinator (and about every partner of the consortium, which they can fill in themselves after the coordinator has created the partner profiles for them):

- Title;
- E-mail address;
- First Name;
- Family Name;
- Status of Organisation;
- Name of Organisation;
- Department;
- Country;
- Street;
- Zip/Postal Code;
- Town/City;
- Telephone Number;

The ESS will ask for the following information:

- Project Title;
- Project Acronym;
- Project duration (max. 36 month);
- Topic;

- Keywords. You can select predetermined keywords for main topic selected or add any new keyword;
- Abstract (max. 2000 characters);
- Documents. You can upload the required documents (Scientific document, budget table, CVs, in pdf or excel files). Max allowed file size: 10 MB;
- For stage 1 (pre-proposal stage) the EES will ask you for the total cost / partner and the requested amount to PRIMA / partner. For information Total cost = requested amount to PRIMA + own funding;
- Note that for stage 2 (full proposal stage), a detailed budget will be asked for each partner.

The project coordinator has to list all partners of the consortium. After having entered the required information, each partner will receive a confirmation email and will be able to edit his/her administrative and financial data.

Annex 1: List of National Contact Points

COUNTRY	FUNDING BODY	NCP SURNAME	NCP NAME	EMAIL	PHONE NUMBER
ALGERIA	General Directorate for scientific research and technological development (DGRSDT)	Bouchicha	Mohamed	m.bouhicha@mesrs.dz	+2132127056 5 / +2135557225 77
CROATIA	Ministry of Science and Education	Čokešić	Mirela	Mirela.Cokesic@mzo.hr	+3851459420 9
CYPRUS	Research Promotion Foundation, Research and Innovation Projects Unit	Chrysafi	Rebecca	rchrysafi@research.org.cy	+357- 22205041
CYPRUS	Research Promotion Foundation, Research and Innovation Projects Unit	Paraskeva	Marilena	marilena@research.org.cy	+357- 22205023
EGYPT	PRIMA National contact point for EGYPT	El-Sadr	Zeinab	prima.mosr@gmail.com	00202 27927368/69
EGYPT	Academy of Scientific Research and Technology (ASRT)	Radwan	Amr	amm@sti.sci.eg	00202 27920126
EGYPT	Academy of Scientific Research and Technology (ASRT)	Essawi	Salma	sme@sti.sci.eg	00202 27920126
EGYPT	Science and Technological Development Fund (STDF)	El Shaer	Marwa	marwaelshaer@hotmail.com	00201 222174699
FRANCE	French National Research Agency (ANR)	Héral	Maurice	maurice.heral@anr.fr	+33 1 78 09 80 33
FRANCE	French National Research Agency (ANR)	Treignier	Claire	claire.treignier@anr.fr	+33 1 78 09 80 33
GERMANY	Project Management Agency c/o German Aerospace Center (DLR PT)	Dr. Ditgens	Birgit	prima@dlr.de	+49 228 3821 -2396

GERMANY	Project Management Agency c/o German Aerospace Center (DLR PT)	Wirsing	Birgit	prima@dlr.de	+49 228 3821 -2396
GREECE	General Secretariat for Research and Technology	Dr. Koniaris	Marios	m.koniaris@gsrt.gr	+30 210 74 58 094
GREECE	General Secretariat for Research and Technology	Dimitropoul ou	Sofia	s.dimitropoulou@gsrt.gr	+30 210 74 58 187
ISRAEL	Israel Innovation Authority	Mrs. Karniol	Ayala	ayala@iserd.org.il	+972-3- 5118119
ITALY	Ministero dell'istruzione, dell'università e della ricerca MIUR	Covello	Aldo	aldo.covello@miur.it	(+39) 06 5849 6465
ITALY	Ministero dell'istruzione, dell'università e della ricerca MIUR	Gliozzi	Chiara	chiara.gliozzi@miur.it	(+39) 06 5849 7288
JORDAN	Scientific Research Support Fund	Amawi	Omar	omaramawi@hotmail.com; o.amawi@hcst.gov.jo	00962653352 88, Mobile 00962776756 675
JORDAN	Scientific Research Support Fund	Smadi	Rasha	rasha.s@hcst.gov.jo	
LEBANON	Conseil National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS-L)	Noujeim	Elise	enjeim@cnrs.edu.lb	
LEBANON	Conseil National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS-L)	Atweh	Rula	rula.atweh@cnrs.edu.lb	
LUXEMBOU RG	Luxembourg National Research Fund (FNR)	Dr. Burg	Helena	Helena.burg@fnr.lu	+352 26192555
MALTA	Malta Council for Science And Technology	Muscat Terribile	Corinne	corinne.muscat-terribile@gov.mt	+356 23602 126/142
MOROCCO	State Secretariat for Higher Education and Scientific Research	Afifi	Abdel-Ilah	afifi@enssup.gov.ma / abdelilahafifi20@gmail.com	00 212 5 37 21 75 52
MOROCCO	State Secretariat for Higher Education and Scientific Research	Mouradi	Abdelhak	a.mouradi@enssup.gov.ma / abmouradi@gmail.com	00 212 5 37 21 76 49

MOROCCO	State Secretariat for Higher Education and Scientific Research	Chokairi	Anas	chokairi.anas@gmail.com	00 212 5 37 21 76 53 / 00 212 6 72 21 03 57
PORTUGAL	Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT)	Pereira	Ricardo	ricardo.pereira@fct.pt	+351 21 392 44 79
PORTUGAL	Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT)	Maia	Maria	maria.maia@fct.pt	+351 21 391 15 43
SLOVENIA	Ministry of Education, Science and Sport	Tea Darka	Glažar	tea.glazar@gov.si	+386 1 478 4762
SPAIN	National Institute for Agricultural and Food Research and Technology (INIA)	Escudero	Jesús	jesus.escudero@inia.es	91 347 39 79
SPAIN	Centro para el Desarrollo Tecnológico Industrial (CDTI) For section 1 calls	Conde	Marta	marta.conde@cdti.es	91 581 55 00 / 91 581 55 94
SPAIN	Centro para el Desarrollo Tecnológico Industrial (CDTI) For section 2 call	Tomas	Maria Jose	tomas_mariajose@cdti.es	91 581 55 02 / 91 581 55 00
SPAIN	Agencia Estatal de Investigacion (AEI) For administrative matters	Chojnacka	Justyna	prima@aei.gob.es	+3491603872 8
SPAIN	Agencia Estatal de Investigacion (AEI) For scientific matters	Intrigliolo	Diego	prima@aei.gob.es	+3465668288 0
TUNISIA	Institution de la Recherche et de l'Enseignement Supérieur Agricoles (IRESA)	Rhouma	Ali	ali.rouma@gmail.com	21698278938
TUNISIA	Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche Scientifique(ME SRS) - Direction Générale de	Mediouni Meknessi	Meriem	meriem.mediouni.meknassi@gm ail.com	(+216) 58 479 506

	Valorisation de la Recherche				
TUNISIA	Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche Scientifique(ME SRS) - Direction Générale de la Recherche Scientifique	Mastouri	Ikbel	mastouri.ikbel@gmail.com	(+216) 58 480 538
TUNISIA	Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche Scientifique(ME SRS) – Agence Nationale de la Promotion de la Recherche Scientifique	Boussaid	Souad	souad.boussaid@gmail.com	(+216) 96 223 806
TURKEY	The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK)	Demir	Nur Eda	eda.demir@tubitak.gov.tr	+90 312 298 1622

Annex 2: Timeline for calls in Section 1

Important events	Date
STAGE 1 (Pre-proposals)	
Pre-announcement publication	15 th January 2018
Announcement publication	8 th February 2018
Opening of the Electronic Submission System	17 th March 2018 (estimated)
Deadline for Pre-Proposals submission	17 th April 2018
Publication of results and communication to coordinators	15 th June 2018
STAGE 2 (Full-Proposals)	
Writing and development of full proposals	15t ^h June - 15 th September 2018
Opening of the Electronic Submission System	15 th August 2018 (estimated)
Deadline for full proposals submission	15 th September 2018
Publication of results and communication to coordinators	5 th December 2018
CA & GA signature	From the 5 th December 2018

Annex 3: Key Performance Indicators

PRIMA programme will be implemented in compliance with the objectives and provisions set out in the Decision (EU) 2017/1324 and will be based on the priorities described in the PRIMA Strategic Research and Innovation Agenda (SRIA).

The successful implementation of the PRIMA programme will be measured against specific key performance indicators (KPIs), which have been set out in the SRIA.

PRIMA is expected to have significant impact in the Mediterranean area.

The most important assessment criteria will be the ability of the PRIMA programme to develop and eventually adopt innovative and sustainable solutions for water management and provision and agrofood systems contributing to the implementation of UN Agenda 2030 for Sustainable Development.

Potential socio-economic benefits include creation of new jobs, increased competitiveness of companies in both shores of the Mediterranean area, social and environmental impacts.

Below is the table containing the PRIMA KPIs that will measure the PRIMA expected impacts.

Consortia shall consider in their proposal how and to which extent it will contribute to the targeted research and innovation objectives of the PRIMA programme and to its overall expected impacts.

IMPACT INDICATORS

		KPIs	SDGs implementation ¹
General goals	Innovation for MANAGEMENT OF WATER	 Number of applied R&I solutions to the challenges of water management SDG#6 - 06.21 Biochemical oxygen demand in rivers; SDG#6 - 06.24 Nitrate in groundwater SDG#6 - 06.26 Phosphate in rivers every year SDG#6 - 06.41 Water exploitation index (WEI) 	6 CLEM WHTEP AND SANITATION
	Innovation for FARMING SYSTEMS	 SDG#2 - 2.4.1 Proportion of agricultural area under productive and sustainable agriculture SDG#2 - 2.5.2 Proportion of local breeds classified as being at risk, not-at-risk or at unknown level of risk of extinction SDG#11 - 11.3.1 Ratio of land consumption rate to population growth rate. 	2 ZERO HIMILER SSSS 11 SIJSSAMARE OTTES A DOMUNITES
	Innovation for AGRO-FOOD VALUE CHAIN	 SDG#12 - 12.3.1 Global food loss index Percentage increase in Mediterranean agro-food products exported Percentage decrease in Food imports dependency (%imports/consumption) 	12 RESPONSIBLE CONSUMPTION ARE PRODUCTION
	Economic Growth/ Competitiveness	 New water and food quality oriented business models and strategies Start-ups created adopting organisational and technological innovation SDG#10 - 10.2.1 Proportion of people living below 50 per cent of median income, by sex, age and persons with disabilities SDG#8 - 8.1.1 Annual growth rate of real GDP per capita 	10 REDUCED MEQUALITES 8 DECEMIN WORK AND 8 DECEMINION GROWTH
	Diet/Health/Well-being	 Decrease in overweight population percentage (of which obese) by sex and by Country SDG#6 - 6.1.1 Proportion of population using safely managed drinking water services 	6 CLEAN WATER AND SANTATION
	Migration	• Multidimensional Poverty Index (SDG) by Country ^{2,3}	
		 ¹ Sachs, J., Schmidt-Traub, G., Kroll, C., Durand-Delacre, D. and Teksoz, K. (2017). SDG Index and Dashboards Report 2017. ² Alkire, S., Apablaza, M., and Jung, E. (2014). Multidimensional poverty measurement for EUSILC countries. OPHI Research in Progress 36b. ³ Alkire, S. and Robles, G. (2017). Multidimensional Poverty Index Summer 2017: Brief methodological note and results. OPHI Methodological Note 44, University of Oxford. 	

SDG. Sustainable Development Goals: <u>https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/?menu=1300</u>

OUTCOMES INDICATORS KPIs

